Duane Morris*

FIRM and AFFILIATE OFFICES

NEW YORK LONDON SINGAPORE

PHILADELPHIA CHICAGO WASHINGTON, DC

SAN FRANCISCO SAN DIEGO BOSTON

HOUSTON LOS ANGELES

HANOI HO CHI MINH CITY

ATLANTA BALTIMORE

WILMINGTON MIAMI

PITTSBURGH NEWARK LAS VEGAS

CHERRY HILL BOCA RATON LAKE TAHOE

MEXICO CITY ALLIANCE WITH MIRANDA & ESTAVILLO

JOANNA R. VARON DIRECT DIAL: +1 212 692 1057 PERSONAL FAX: +1 212 208 6831 E-MAIL: jrvaron@duanemorris.com

www.duanemorris.com

November 14, 2013

VIA ECF

Honorable Judge Pamela K. Chen United States District Court Eastern District of New York 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, NY 11201

Re: Tasso Koumoulis, et al. v. IMFG, et al., Docket No. 1:10-cv-00887

Dear Judge Chen:

We represent the Defendants in the above-referenced action. Defendants respond briefly to the letter filed by Plaintiffs (ECF 53).

Defendants' letter to the Court (ECF 52) was filed to request the Court's permission to preserve applicable privileges while a decision on Defendants' soon to be filed objections is pending. Plaintiffs improperly took that opportunity to oppose the submission of additional evidence.

28 USC § 636 and F.R.C.P. Rule 72 expressly state that the District Court may "receive further evidence" in resolving objections. Defendants will be submitting additional evidence for the reasons set forth in its objections. Plaintiffs have advised that they will respond to Defendants' objections. Plaintiffs can at that time oppose Defendants' additional evidence. As made clear by the Rules, the District Court will ultimately have discretion as to whether to consider any or all of it.

Respectfully yours,

/s/ Joanna R. Varon

JRV:jb Enclosures

DUANE MORRIS LLP

<u>Duane</u>Morris

Honorable Judge Pamela K. Chen November 14, 2013 Page 2

Cc: Kenneth Goldberg (via ECF)